

Homogeneous symplectic manifolds and integrable contact systems

Asier López-Gordón

Joint work with L. Colombo, M. de León, M. E. Eyrea Irazú, and M. Lainz

Trans-Carpathian Seminar on Geometry & Physics



INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Symplectic geometry

- It is well-known that a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is the natural geometric framework for a Hamiltonian system.
- The Hamiltonian vector field X_h of a function $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ is given by $\omega(X_h, \cdot) = dh$.
- In a neighbourhood of each point in M there are canonical (or Darboux) coordinates (q^i, p_i) in which

$$\omega = dq^i \wedge dp_i, \quad X_h = \frac{\partial h}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}.$$

Liouville–Arnol'd theorem

Theorem (Liouville–Arnol'd)

Let f_1, \dots, f_n be independent functions in involution (i.e., $\{f_i, f_j\} = 0 \forall i, j$) on a symplectic manifold (M^{2n}, ω) . Let $M_\Lambda = \{x \in M \mid f_i = \Lambda_i\}$ be a regular level set.

- 1 Any compact connected component of M_Λ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n .
- 2 On a neighbourhood of M_Λ there are coordinates (φ^i, J_i) such that

$$\omega = d\varphi^i \wedge dJ_i,$$

and $f_i = f_i(J_1, \dots, J_n)$, so the Hamiltonian vector fields read

$$X_{f_i} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial J_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^j}.$$

Liouville–Arnol'd theorem

Corollary

Let (M^{2n}, ω, h) be a Hamiltonian system. Suppose that f_1, \dots, f_n are independent conserved quantities (i.e. $X_h(f_i) = 0 \forall i$) in involution. Then, on a neighbourhood of M_Λ there are Darboux coordinates (φ^i, J_i) such that $h = h(J_1, \dots, J_n)$, so the Hamiltonian dynamics are given by

$$\frac{d\varphi^i}{dt} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial J_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^i},$$

$$\frac{dJ_i}{dt} = 0.$$

Definition

The tuple $(M, \omega, (f_1, \dots, f_n))$ is called a **(completely) integrable system**. Sometimes, we will refer to a Hamiltonian system (M^{2n}, ω, h) that has n independent first integrals in involution as a **(completely) integrable Hamiltonian system**.

Definition

The coordinates (φ^j) are called **angle coordinates** (or angle variables), and the coordinates (J_j) are called **action coordinates** (or action variables).

Remark

The Liouville–Arnol’d theorem was extended to non-compact invariant submanifolds by Fiorani, Giachetta and Sardanashvily (2002). One has to assume that the Hamiltonian vector fields X_{f_1}, \dots, X_{f_n} are complete, which holds automatically in the compact case.

Example (The n -dimensional harmonic oscillator)

- Consider \mathbb{R}^{2n} , with canonical coordinates (x_i, p_i) , $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, equipped with the symplectic form ω and the Hamiltonian function h ,

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dp_i, \quad h = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i^2}{2} + \frac{x_i^2}{2} \right)$$

- The functions $f_i = \frac{p_i^2}{2} + \frac{x_i^2}{2}$ are independent and involution, and one can write $h = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$.
- Angle coordinates are $\varphi^i = \arctan\left(\frac{x_i}{p_i}\right)$ and action coordinates are f_i .
- Hamilton's equations read

$$\frac{d\varphi^i}{dt} = 1, \quad \frac{df_i}{dt} = 0.$$

Remark

The explicit computation of action-angle coordinates for a detailed physical model can be challenging and potentially worthy of publication.

MR4664599 - Poisson structure and action-angle variables for the Hirota equation

Zhang, Yu; Tian, Shou-Fu

Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **74** (2023), no. 6, Paper No. 236, 18 pp.

MR4644726 - Action-angle formalism for extreme mass ratio inspirals in Kerr spacetime

Kerachian, Morteza; Polcar, Lukáš; Skoupý, Viktor; Efthymiopoulos, Christos; Lukes-Gerakopoulos, Georgios

Phys. Rev. D **108** (2023), no. 4, Paper No. 044004, 22 pp.

MR4626427 - On the Poisson structure and action-angle variables for the complex modified Korteweg–de Vries equation

Yin, Zhe-Yong; Tian, Shou-Fu

J. Geom. Phys. **192** (2023), Paper No. 104952, 19 pp.

(Reviewer: Leandro, Eduardo S. G.)

MR4736518 - On inverse scattering approach and action-angle variables to the Harry-Dym equation

Yin, Zhe-Yong; Tian, Shou-Fu

J. Math. Phys. **65** (2024), no. 4, Paper No. 043506, 22 pp.

MR4698015 - Action-angle variables and conservation laws expressed in terms of scattering data for an integrable hierarchy associated with the Zakharov-Ito system

Wu, Zhi-Jia; Tian, Shou-Fu; Yin, Zhe-Yong

Phys. D **460** (2024), Paper No. 134062, 8 pp.

MR4689321 - On the Poisson structure and action-angle variables for the Fokas-Lenells equation

Gao, Yun-Zhi; Tian, Shou-Fu; Fan, Hai-Ning

J. Geom. Phys. **197** (2024), Paper No. 105099, 17 pp.

A crash course on contact geometry

Maximally non-integrable distributions

Definition

We will say that a distribution $D \subset TM$ on a manifold M is **maximally non-integrable** if the bilinear map

$$\nu_D: D \times_M D \ni (X, Y) \mapsto \gamma([X, Y]) \in TM/D$$

is non-degenerate. Here $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the Lie bracket of vector fields with image in D , and $\gamma: TM \rightarrow TM/D$ is the canonical projection.

Definition

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold. A **contact distribution** C on M is a maximally non-integrable distribution of corank 1. The pair (M, C) is called a **contact manifold**.

Distributions as kernels of 1-forms

- Note that a distribution D of corank 1 on M can be locally written as the kernel of a (local) 1-form α on M .
- It is easy to see that D is integrable iff

$$\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0$$

for any local 1-form α such that $D = \ker \alpha$.

- On the contrary, D is maximally non-integrable iff

$$\alpha \wedge d\alpha^n = \alpha \wedge \underbrace{d\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge d\alpha}_{n \text{ times}} \neq 0$$

for any local 1-form α such that $D = \ker \alpha$.

Definition

Let (M, C) be a contact manifold such that C can be globally written as the kernel of a global 1-form η on M . Then, C is said to be a **co-orientable** contact distribution, η is called a **contact form**, and the pair (M, η) is called a **co-oriented contact manifold**.

Remark (Not existence and not uniqueness of contact forms)

- Not all contact manifolds are co-orientable. Nevertheless, there always exists a co-orientable double covering space.
- A co-orientable contact distribution C does not fix the contact form η , but rather the equivalence class

$$\eta \sim \tilde{\eta} \iff \ker \eta = \ker \tilde{\eta} \iff \exists f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \text{ such that } \tilde{\eta} = f\eta.$$

Remark

Several authors refer to co-oriented contact manifolds as contact manifolds. The term “contact structure” is used to refer either to the contact distribution or to the contact form, so I will not use it in order to avoid ambiguity.

Example (Odd-dimensional Euclidean space)

$$\eta = dz - \sum_{i=1}^n y^i dx^i, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \text{ with canonical coordinates } (x^i, y^i, z).$$

Example (Trivial bundle over the cotangent bundle)

The cotangent bundle T^*Q of Q is endowed with the tautological 1-form θ_Q . The trivial bundle $\pi_1 : T^*Q \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T^*Q$ can be equipped with the contact form $\eta_Q = dr - \pi^*\theta_Q$, with r the canonical coordinate of \mathbb{R} . If (q^i) are coordinates in Q which induce bundle coordinates (q^i, p_i) in T^*Q and (q^i, p_i, r) in $T^*Q \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\theta_Q = p_i dq^i, \quad \eta_Q = dr - p_i dq^i.$$

Example (Projective space)

Let $M = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Consider the open subsets

$$U_k = \{(x, [y]) \in M \mid y^k \neq 0\},$$

where $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n), y = (y^1, \dots, y^k, \dots, y^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We have the local contact forms

$$\eta_k = dx^k - \sum_{i \neq k} \frac{y_i}{y_k} dx^i \in \Omega^1(U_k).$$

If a global contact form η on M existed, then $\eta \wedge d\eta^n$ would define an orientation. Hence, M is not co-orientable if $n - 1$ is even.

Example (Projective cotangent bundle $\mathbb{P}(T^*N)$)

This space is the set of equivalence classes $[(x, \alpha)]$ of points of T^*N with the equivalence relation

$$(x, \alpha) \sim (y, \beta) \quad \text{iff} \quad x = y \quad \text{and} \quad \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \alpha = \lambda \beta.$$

Similarly to $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, it can be equipped with a contact distribution which will not be co-orientable if N is odd-dimensional.

The Reeb vector field

Definition

Let (M, η) be a co-oriented contact manifold. The **Reeb vector field** of (M, η) is the unique vector field $\mathcal{R} \in X(M)$ such that

$$\mathcal{R} \in \ker d\eta, \quad \eta(\mathcal{R}) = 1.$$

The tangent bundle TM of a co-oriented contact manifold (M, η) can be decomposed as the Whitney sum

$$TM = \ker \eta \oplus \ker d\eta = \mathcal{C} \oplus \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle.$$

Note that the complement of the contact distribution $\mathcal{C} = \ker \eta$ depends on the choice of contact form, or, equivalently, on the choice of the Reeb vector field.

Proposition

Let η be a 1-form on a manifold M . The map

$$b_\eta: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M), \quad b_\eta(X) = \eta(X)\eta + \iota_X d\eta$$

is a $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -module isomorphism iff η is a contact form.

Note that the Reeb vector field can be equivalently defined as $\mathcal{R} = b_\eta^{-1}(\eta)$.

Theorem

Let (M, η) be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional co-oriented contact manifold. Around each point $x \in M$ there exist local coordinates (q^i, p_i, z) , $i \in \{1 \dots, n\}$ such that the contact form reads

$$\eta = dz - p_i dq^i .$$

Consequently, the Reeb vector field is written as

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} .$$

These coordinates are called **canonical** or **Darboux** coordinates.

Jacobi structures

- Consider a manifold M endowed with a bivector field $\Lambda \in \text{Sec}(\wedge^2 TM)$ and a vector field $E \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
- Define the bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}: \mathcal{C}^\infty(M) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ by

$$\{f, g\} = \Lambda(df, dg) + fE(g) - gE(f).$$

- Lichnerowicz (1977) showed that it is a Lie bracket iff

$$[\Lambda, E] = 0, \quad [\Lambda, \Lambda] = 2E \wedge \Lambda,$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the Schouten–Nijenhuis bracket.

- In that case, (Λ, E) is called a **Jacobi structure** on M , $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is called a Jacobi bracket, and (M, Λ, E) is called a Jacobi manifold.

Remark

A Poisson structure Λ is a Jacobi structure with $E \equiv 0$.

- A Jacobi structure (Λ, E) defines a $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -module morphism

$$\sharp_\Lambda: \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad \sharp_\Lambda(\alpha) = \Lambda(\alpha, \cdot).$$

- This defines a so-called orthogonal complement $D^{\perp\Lambda} = \sharp_\Lambda(D^\circ)$, for a distribution D with annihilator D° .
- A submanifold N of M is called **coisotropic** if $TN^{\perp\Lambda} \subseteq TN$.

- Two Jacobi structures (Λ, E) and $(\tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{E})$ on M are **conformally equivalent** if there exists a nowhere-vanishing function f on M such that

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = f\Lambda, \quad \tilde{E} = \sharp\Lambda df + fE.$$

Remark

The orthogonal complement coincides for conformally equivalent Jacobi structures, namely, $D^{\perp\Lambda} = D^{\perp\tilde{\Lambda}}$ for any distribution D .

Definition

Let (M, Λ, E) be a Jacobi manifold with Jacobi bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. A collection of functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ will be said to be **in involution** if

$$\{f_i, f_j\} = 0, \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

Jacobi structures

- For each function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, we can define a vector field

$$X_f = \sharp_\Lambda(df) + fE,$$

or, equivalently,

$$X_f(g) = \{f, g\} + gE(f), \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M).$$

- Following the nomenclature of Dazord, Lichnerowicz, Marle, *et al.*, we will refer to X_f as the **Hamiltonian vector field of f** .
- However, X_f does not satisfy the properties of a usual Hamiltonian vector field (w.r.t. a symplectic or Poisson structure). In particular,

$$\{f, g\} = 0 \not\iff X_f(g) = 0.$$

Jacobi structure defined by a contact form

- A co-oriented contact manifold (M^{2n+1}, η) is endowed with a Jacobi structure (Λ, E) given by

$$\Lambda(\alpha, \beta) = -d\eta\left(b_\eta^{-1}(\alpha), b_\eta^{-1}(\beta)\right), \quad E = -\mathcal{R},$$

where \mathcal{R} is the Reeb vector field.

- Any contact form $\tilde{\eta}$ defining the same contact distribution, i.e., $\ker \tilde{\eta} = \ker \eta$, defines a conformally equivalent Jacobi structure.

Contact Hamiltonian vector field

- Let (M, η) be a co-oriented contact manifold. The Hamiltonian vector field of $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ is uniquely determined by

$$\eta(X_f) = -f, \quad \mathcal{L}_{X_f}\eta = -\mathcal{R}(f)\eta.$$

- In Darboux coordinates

$$X_f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} + p_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \left(p_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} - f \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Remarks

- The Reeb vector field is the Hamiltonian vector field of $f \equiv -1$.
- Every Hamiltonian vector field is an infinitesimal contactomorphism (i.e., its flow preserves the contact distribution $C = \ker \eta$). Conversely, if $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is an infinitesimal contactomorphism, then it is the Hamiltonian vector field of $f = -\eta(Y)$.
- Knowing $C = \ker \eta$ and X_f does not fix η nor f . As a matter of fact, X_f is the Hamiltonian vector field of $g = f/a$ with respect to $\tilde{\eta} = a\eta$, for any non-vanishing $a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$.

Definition

A **contact Hamiltonian system** (M, η, h) is a co-oriented contact manifold (M, η) with a fixed **Hamiltonian function** $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$.

- The dynamics of (M, η, h) is determined by the integral curves of the Hamiltonian vector field X_h of h w.r.t. η .

Contact Hamiltonian systems

- In Darboux coordinates, these curves $c(t) = (q^i(t), p_i(t), z(t))$ are determined by the **contact Hamilton equations**:

$$\frac{dq^i(t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial p_i} \circ c(t),$$

$$\frac{dp_i(t)}{dt} = -\frac{\partial h}{\partial q^i} \circ c(t) - p_i(t) \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \circ c(t),$$

$$\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = p_i(t) \frac{\partial h}{\partial p_i} \circ c(t) - h \circ c(t).$$

Example (The harmonic oscillator with linear damping)

Consider the solution $x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the second-order ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2}(t) = -x(t) - \kappa \frac{dx}{dt}(t),$$

where $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. Defining $p = dx/dt$, we can reduce it to the system of first-order ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{dx}{dt}(t) = p(t), \quad \frac{dp}{dt}(t) = -x(t) - \kappa p(t).$$

We can obtain this system as the two first contact Hamilton equations from the contact Hamilton system (\mathbb{R}^3, η, h) , where $\eta = dz - p dx$ and

$$h = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{x^2}{2} + \kappa z.$$

Example (The parachute equation)

- Consider a particle of mass m falling in a fluid under the constant gravitational acceleration g .
- The friction of the fluid is a drag force, namely, of the form $m\gamma\dot{x}^2$, with γ a positive constant.
- The equation of motion (2nd Newton's law)

$$\ddot{x} = \gamma\dot{x}^2 - g$$

can be obtained from the contact Hamilton equations of the contact Hamiltonian system $(\mathbb{R}^3, \eta = dz - p dx, h)$, with

$$h = \frac{gm^2 (e^{2\gamma x} - 1)}{2m\gamma} + \frac{(p - 2\gamma z)^2}{2m}.$$

Exact symplectic manifolds and homogeneous Liouville–Arnol’d theorem

Definition

An **exact symplectic manifold** is a pair (M, θ) , where θ is a **symplectic potential** on M , i.e., $\omega = -d\theta$ is a symplectic form on M . The **Liouville vector field** $\nabla \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is given by

$$\iota_{\nabla}\omega = -\theta.$$

A tensor field A on P is called k -homogeneous (for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$) if

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nabla}A = kA.$$

Proposition

Let (M, θ) be an exact symplectic manifold. Given a vector field $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- 1 Y is an infinitesimal homogeneous symplectomorphism, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_Y\theta = 0$;
- 2 Y is an infinitesimal symplectomorphism (i.e., $\mathcal{L}_Y d\theta = 0$) and commutes with the Liouville vector field ∇ ,
- 3 Y is the Hamiltonian vector field of $f = \theta(Y)$ and f is a homogeneous function of degree 1.

Definition

A **homogeneous integrable system** consists of an exact symplectic manifold (M^{2n}, θ) and a map $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n): M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the functions f_1, \dots, f_n are independent, in involution and homogeneous of degree 1 (w.r.t. the Liouville vector field ∇ of θ) on a dense open subset $M_0 \subseteq M$. We will denote it by (M, θ, F) .

For simplicity's sake, in this talk I will assume that $M_0 = M$.

Proposition

Let (M, θ, F) be a homogeneous integrable system. Then, for each $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the level set $M_\Lambda = F^{-1}(\Lambda)$ is a Lagrangian submanifold, and

$$\varphi_t^\nabla(M_\Lambda) = M_{t\Lambda} = F^{-1}(t\Lambda),$$

where φ_t^∇ denotes the flow of the Liouville vector field ∇ .

Some remarks

- Around each point of an exact symplectic manifold (M, θ) , there is a system of canonical coordinates (q^i, p_i) where

$$\theta = p_i dq^i, \quad \nabla = p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}.$$

- Note that coordinates may be canonical for $\omega = -d\theta$ but not for θ . For instance, in the coordinates $\tilde{q}^i = q^i$, $\tilde{p}_i = p_i + e^{q^i}$ we have

$$\theta = \sum_i (\tilde{p}_i - e^{\tilde{q}^i}) d\tilde{q}^i, \quad \omega = d\tilde{q}^i \wedge d\tilde{p}_i, \quad \nabla = (\tilde{p}_i - e^{\tilde{q}^i}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_i}.$$

- In particular, the Liouville–Arnol’d theorem provides coordinates which are canonical for ω , but not necessarily for θ or ∇ .

Homogeneous Liouville – Arnol'd theorem

Theorem (Colombo, de León, Lainz, L. G., 2023)

Let (M, θ, F) be a homogeneous integrable system with $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Given $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$, suppose that $M_\Lambda = F^{-1}(\Lambda)$ is connected. Assume that, in a neighbourhood U of M_Λ , the Hamiltonian vector fields X_{f_i} are complete, $\text{rank TF}|_U = n$ and $F|_U: U \rightarrow F(U) =: V$ is a trivial bundle. Then, $U \simeq \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \times V$ and there is a chart $(\hat{U} \subseteq U; y^i, A_i)$ of M s.t.

- 1 $A_i = M_i^j f_j$, where M_i^j are homogeneous functions of degree 0 depending only on f_1, \dots, f_n ,
- 2 $\theta = A_i dy^i$,
- 3 $X_{f_i} = N_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}$, with (N_i^j) the inverse matrix of (M_i^j) .

Lemma

Let M be an n -dimensional manifold, and let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ be linearly independent vector fields. If these vector fields are pairwise commutative and complete, then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ for some $k \leq n$.

Lemma

Let (M^{2n}, θ, F) be a homogeneous integrable system, with $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Assume that the Hamiltonian vector fields X_{f_i} are complete. Then, there exists n functions $g_i = M_i^j f_j \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ such that

- 1 $(M, \theta, (g_1, \dots, g_n))$ is also a homogeneous integrable system,
- 2 X_{g_1}, \dots, X_{g_k} are infinitesimal generators of S^1 -actions and their flows have period 1,
- 3 $X_{g_{k+1}}, \dots, X_{g_n}$ are infinitesimal generators of \mathbb{R} -actions,
- 4 M_i^j for $i, j \in 1, \dots, n$ are homogeneous functions of degree 0, and they depend only on f_1, \dots, f_n .

Lemma

*Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be a G -principal bundle over a connected and simply connected manifold. Suppose there exists a connection one-form A such that the horizontal distribution H is integrable. Then $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ is a trivial bundle and there exists a global section $\chi: M \rightarrow P$ such that $\chi^*A = 0$.*

Proof of the theorem

- W.l.o.g., assume that X_{f_1}, \dots, X_{f_k} are infinitesimal generators of \mathbb{S}^1 -actions with period 1, and that $X_{g_{k+1}}, \dots, X_{g_n}$ are infinitesimal generators of \mathbb{R} -actions. Restrict V so that it is simply connected.
- We know that $M_\lambda \simeq \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, so we have the trivial $(\mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k})$ -principal bundle $F: U \simeq V \times \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \rightarrow V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.
- We can endow U with a flat, and thus $(\mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k})$ -invariant, Riemannian metric g , and construct an integrable horizontal distribution

$$H = \left(\ker \theta \cap \langle X_{f_i} \rangle_{i=1}^n \right)^{\perp_g} \cap \ker \theta,$$

with connection one-form θ .

- Then, there exists a global section χ of the principal bundle such that $\chi^* \theta = 0$.

Proof of the theorem

- For each point $x \in M_\Lambda = F^{-1}(\Lambda)$, the angle coordinates $(y^i(x))$ are determined by

$$\Phi(y^i(x), \chi(F(x))) = x,$$

where $\Phi: \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \times M \rightarrow M$ denotes the action defined by the flows of X_{f_i} . Thus, $X_{f_i} = \partial_{y^i}$.

- In coordinates (f_i, y^i) ,

$$\chi(f_i) = (f_i, 0), \quad \theta = A_i(f_j, y^j) dy^j + B^i(f_j, y^j) df_i.$$

- Contracting θ with X_{f_i} yields $A_i = f_i$. Moreover,

$$0 = \mathcal{L}_{X_{f_i}} \theta = \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{y^i}} (f_i dy^i + B^i df_i) = \frac{\partial B^i}{\partial y^i} df_i \implies \theta = f_i dy^i + B^i(f_j) df_i.$$

- Since $\chi^* \theta = 0$, we conclude that $\theta = f_i dy^i$.

Q.E.D.

Liouville–Arnol'd theorem for contact Hamiltonian systems

Trivial symplectization of a co-oriented contact manifold

Definition

Let (M, η) be a co-oriented contact manifold. Then, the trivial bundle $\pi_1: M^{\text{symp}} = M \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow M$, $\pi_1(x, r) = x$ can be endowed with the symplectic potential $\theta(x, r) = r\eta(x)$. The Liouville vector field reads $\nabla = r\partial_r$.

We will refer to $(M^{\text{symp}}, \theta)$ as the **trivial symplectization** of (M, η) .

Remark

I will present a more general setting at the end of the talk.

Trivial symplectization of a co-oriented contact manifold

Proposition

There is a one-to-one correspondence between functions $f(x)$ on M and 1-homogeneous functions $f^{\text{symp}}(x, r) = -rf(x)$ on M^{symp} such that the symplectic $X_{f^{\text{symp}}}$ and contact X_f Hamiltonian vector fields are related as follows:

$$\mathbb{T}\pi_1 (X_{f^{\text{symp}}}) = X_f .$$

Moreover, the Poisson $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\theta$ and Jacobi $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ brackets have the correspondence

$$\{f^{\text{symp}}, g^{\text{symp}}\}_\omega = \left(\{f, g\}_\eta \right)^{\text{symp}} .$$

Definition

A **completely integrable contact system** is a triple (M, η, F) , where (M^{2n+1}, η) is a co-oriented contact manifold and $F = (f_0, \dots, f_n): M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a map such that

- 1 f_0, \dots, f_n are in involution, i.e., $\{f_\alpha, f_\beta\} = 0 \forall \alpha, \beta \in \{0, \dots, n\}$,
- 2 $\text{rank } TF \geq n$ on a dense open subset $M_0 \subseteq M$.

Proposition

Let (M, η) be a co-oriented contact manifold and $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ a smooth map. Consider the trivial symplectization, i.e., $M^{\text{symp}} = M \times \mathbb{R}_+$ endowed with the symplectic potential $\theta(x, r) = r\eta(x)$, and the map $F^{\text{symp}}(x, r) = -rF(x)$. Then, $(M^{\text{symp}}, \theta, F^{\text{symp}})$ is a homogeneous integrable system iff (M, η, F) is a completely integrable contact system.

Some notation

- For each $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$, let $\langle \Lambda \rangle_+$ denote the ray generated by Λ , namely,

$$\langle \Lambda \rangle_+ := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \exists \in \mathbb{R}_+ : x = r\Lambda \right\}.$$

- Consider the preimages $M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+}$ of those rays by a map $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, namely,

$$M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+} := F^{-1} \left(\langle \Lambda \rangle_+ \right).$$

Theorem (Colombo, de León, Lainz, L. G., 2023)

Let (M, η, F) be a completely integrable contact system, where $F = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$. Suppose that the contact Hamiltonian vector fields X_{f_i} are complete. Given $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$, assume that U is a neighbourhood of $M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+}$ s.t. $F|_U: U \rightarrow B$ is a trivial bundle. Then:

- 1 $M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+}$ is coisotropic, invariant by the Hamiltonian flow of f_α , and diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1-k}$ for some $k \leq n$.
- 2 There exist coordinates $(y^0, \dots, y^n, \tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_n)$ on U such that the Hamiltonian vector fields of the functions f_α read

$$X_{f_\alpha} = \bar{N}_\alpha^\beta X_{f_\beta},$$

where \bar{N}_α^β are functions depending only on $\tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_n$.

- 3 There exists a nowhere-vanishing function $A_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ and a conformally equivalent contact form $\tilde{\eta} = \eta/A_0 = dy^0 - \tilde{A}_i dy^i$.

Sketch of the proof

- ① Translate the problem to the exact symplectic manifold $(M^{\text{symp}} = M \times \mathbb{R}_+, \theta = r\eta)$.
- $\{f_\alpha, f_\beta\} = 0 \Rightarrow \{f_\alpha^{\text{symp}}, f_\beta^{\text{symp}}\} = 0$.
 - X_{f_α} complete $\Rightarrow X_{f_\alpha^{\text{symp}}}$ complete.
 - $\text{rank} df_\alpha \geq n \Rightarrow \text{rank} d(\underbrace{r\pi_1^* f_\alpha}_{f_\alpha^{\text{symp}}}) \geq n + 1$.
 - $\pi_1((F^{\text{symp}})^{-1}(\Lambda)) = \{x \in M \mid \exists s \in \mathbb{R}^+ : F(x) = \frac{\Lambda}{s}\} = M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+}$.
 - $X_{f_\alpha^{\text{symp}}}$ are tangent to $(F^{\text{symp}})^{-1}(\Lambda) \Rightarrow X_{f_\alpha}$ are tangent to $M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+}$.
 - X_{f_α} commute and are tangent to $M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+} \Rightarrow M_{\langle \Lambda \rangle_+} \simeq \mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1-k}$.
 - $F: U \rightarrow B$ is a trivial bundle $\Rightarrow F^{\text{symp}}: \pi_1^{-1}U \rightarrow B$ is a trivial bundle.
- \therefore We can apply the theorem for exact symplectic manifolds to obtain action-angle coordinates $(y_{\text{symp}}^\alpha, A_\alpha^{\text{symp}})$ on $\pi_1^{-1}(U)$.

Sketch of the proof

② In these coordinates,

$$\theta = A_\alpha^{\text{symp}} dy_{\text{symp}}^\alpha, \quad A_\alpha^{\text{symp}} = M_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{symp}},$$

and

$$X_{f_\alpha^{\text{symp}}} = N_\alpha^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\text{symp}}^\beta}, \quad (N_\beta^\alpha) = (M_\beta^\alpha)^{-1}.$$

Due to the homogeneity, there are functions $y^\alpha, A_\alpha, \overline{M}_\alpha^\beta$ and $\overline{N}_\alpha^\beta$ on M such that

$$\begin{aligned} A_\alpha^{\text{symp}} &= -r (\pi_1^* A_\alpha), & y_{\text{symp}}^\alpha &= \pi_1^* y^\alpha, \\ M_\alpha^\beta &= \pi_1^* \overline{M}_\alpha^\beta, & N_\alpha^\beta &= \pi_1^* \overline{N}_\alpha^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof

- ③ Since $r(\pi_1^*\eta) = \theta$, the contact form is given by

$$\eta = A_\alpha dy^\alpha.$$

and

$$f_\alpha = \overline{M}_\alpha^\beta A_\beta, \quad X_{f_\alpha} = \overline{N}_\alpha^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta},$$

- ④ Since $\Lambda \neq 0$, there is at least one nonvanishing f_α . Hence, there is at least one nonvanishing A_α . W.l.o.g., assume that $A_0 \neq 0$. Then, $(y^j, \tilde{A}_i = -A_i/A_0, y^0)$ are Darboux coordinates for

$$\tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{A_0} \eta = dy^0 - \tilde{A}_i dy^i,$$

Construction of action-angle coordinates

In order to construct action-angle coordinates in a neighbourhood U of M_Λ , one has to carry out the following steps:

- 1 Fix a section χ of $F: U \rightarrow V$ such that $\chi^*\theta = 0$.
- 2 Compute the flows $\varphi_t^{X_{f_i}}$ of the Hamiltonian vector fields X_{f_i} .
- 3 Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^n \times M \rightarrow M$ denote the action of \mathbb{R}^n on M defined by the flows, namely,

$$\Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n; x) = \varphi_{t_1}^{X_{f_1}} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{t_n}^{X_{f_n}}(x).$$

- 4 It is well-known that the isotropy subgroup $G_{\chi(\Lambda)\chi(\Lambda)} = \{g \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \Phi(g, \chi(\Lambda)) = \chi(\Lambda)\}$, forms a lattice (that is, a \mathbb{Z} -submodule of \mathbb{R}^n). Pick a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$, where m is the rank of the isotropy subgroup.
- 5 Complete it to a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, \dots, e_m, e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n .

Construction of action-angle coordinates

- ⑥ Let (M_i^j) denote the matrix of change from the basis $\{X_{f_j}(\chi(\Lambda))\}$ of $T_{\chi(\Lambda)}M_\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ to the basis $\{e_i\}$. The action coordinates are the functions $A_i = M_i^j f_j$.
- ⑦ The angle coordinates (y^i) of a point $x \in M$ are the solutions of the equation

$$x = \Phi(y^i e_i; \chi \circ F(x)).$$

An example

- Let $M = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ with canonical coordinates (q, p, z) , and $\eta = dz - pdq$.
- The functions $h = p$ and $f = z$ are in involution.
- Let $F = (h, f): M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$.
- $\text{rank } TF = 2$, and thus (M, η, F) is a completely integrable contact system.

An example

- Hypothesis of the theorem are satisfied:

- 1 The Hamiltonian vector fields

$$X_h = \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \quad X_f = -p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

are complete,

- 2 Since $F: (q, p, z) \mapsto (p, z)$ is the canonical projection, $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is a trivial bundle.

An example

- Therefore, $\theta = rdz - rpdq$ is the symplectic potential on $M^{\text{symp}} = M \times \mathbb{R}_+$, and the symplectizations of h and f are $h^{\text{symp}} = -rp$ and $f^{\text{symp}} = -rz$. Their Hamiltonian vector fields are

$$X_{h^{\text{symp}}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \quad X_{f^{\text{symp}}} = -p \frac{\partial}{\partial p} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

- Consider a section $\chi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow M^{\text{symp}}$ of $F^{\text{symp}} = (h^{\text{symp}}, f^{\text{symp}})$ such that $\chi^*\theta = 0$. For instance, one can choose $\chi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \left(0, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}, 1, \lambda_2\right)$ in the points where $\lambda_2 \neq 0$.
- The Lie group action $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^2 \times M^{\text{symp}} \rightarrow M^{\text{symp}}$ defined by the flows of $X_{h^{\text{symp}}}$ and $X_{f^{\text{symp}}}$ is given by

$$\Phi(t, s; q, p, z, r) = \left(q + t, pe^{-s}, ze^{-s}, re^s\right),$$

whose isotropy subgroup is the trivial one.

An example

- The angle coordinates $(y_{\text{symp}}^0, y_{\text{symp}}^1)$ of a point $x \in M^{\text{symp}}$ are determined by

$$\Phi \left(y_{\text{symp}}^0, y_{\text{symp}}^1, \chi(F(x)) \right) = x.$$

- If the canonical coordinates of x are (q, p, z, r) , then

$$y_{\text{symp}}^0 = q, \quad y_{\text{symp}}^1 = -\log z.$$

- Since the isotropy subgroup is trivial, the action coordinates coincide with the functions in involution, namely,

$$A_0^{\text{symp}} = h^{\text{symp}} = -rp, \quad A_1^{\text{symp}} = f^{\text{symp}} = -rz.$$

- Projecting to M yields the functions

$$y^0 = q, \quad y^1 = -\log z, \quad A_0 = h = p, \quad A_1 = f = z.$$

An example

- The action coordinate is

$$\tilde{A} = -\frac{A_0}{A_1} = -\frac{\rho}{z}$$

In the coordinates (y^0, y^1, \tilde{A}) the Hamiltonian vector fields reads

$$X_h = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0}, \quad X_f = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1},$$

and there is a conformal contact form given by

$$\tilde{\eta} = -\frac{1}{A_1}\eta = dy^1 - \tilde{A}dy^0.$$

An example

- Similarly,

$$\chi(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) = \left(\frac{\Lambda_2}{\Lambda_1}, 1, \frac{\Lambda_2}{\Lambda_1}, \Lambda_1 \right)$$

is a section of F^{symp} in the points where $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$.

- Performing analogous computations as above one obtains the action-angle coordinates

$$\hat{y}^0 = q - \frac{z}{p}, \quad \hat{y}^1 = -\log p, \quad \hat{A} = -\frac{z}{p},$$

such that

$$X_h = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{y}^0}, \quad X_f = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{y}^1}, \quad \hat{\eta} = -\frac{1}{p}\eta = d\hat{y}^0 - \hat{A}d\hat{y}^1.$$

Generalisation to not co-oriented contact manifolds

\mathbb{R}^\times -principal bundles

- Consider the multiplicative group of non-zero real numbers $GL(1, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^\times = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.
- Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be an \mathbb{R}^\times -principal bundle, and denote the \mathbb{R}^\times -action by Φ , and the Euler vector field by ∇ .
- In a local trivialization $\pi^{-1}(U) \simeq U \times \mathbb{R}^\times$ of P , they read

$$\pi(x, s) = x, \quad h_t(x, s) = (x, ts), \quad \nabla = s \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$

Homogeneous symplectic forms

Definition

Let $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ be an \mathbb{R}^\times -principal bundle with Euler vector field ∇ . A tensor field A on P is called k -homogeneous (for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$) if

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nabla}A = kA.$$

Definition

A **symplectic \mathbb{R}^\times -principal bundle** is an \mathbb{R}^\times -principal bundle $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ endowed with a 1-homogeneous symplectic form ω on P . We will denote it by $(P, \pi, M, \nabla, \omega)$

Contact manifolds and symplectic \mathbb{R}^\times -principal bundles

Theorem (Grabowski, 2013)

There is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between contact distributions $C \subset TM$ on M and symplectic \mathbb{R}^\times -principal bundles $\pi: P \rightarrow M$ over M .

*More precisely, the symplectic \mathbb{R}^\times -principal bundle associated with C is $(C^\circ)^\times = C^\circ \setminus 0_{T^*M} \subset T^*M$ (i.e., the annihilator of C with the zero section removed), whose symplectic form is the restriction to $(C^\circ)^\times$ of the canonical symplectic form ω_M on T^*M . It is called the **symplectic cover** of (M, C) .*

Remark

Every symplectic \mathbb{R}^\times -principal bundle $(P, \pi, M, \nabla, \omega)$ is an exact symplectic manifold. Indeed, the 1-form $\theta = -\iota_{\nabla}\omega$ is a symplectic potential for ω .

Conversely, an exact symplectic manifold (M, θ) is a symplectic \mathbb{R}^\times -principal bundle if the Liouville vector field ∇ is complete.

Theorem (Grabowska and Grabowski, 2022)

Let $(P, \pi, M, \nabla, \omega)$ be the symplectic cover of (M, C) . Then, the Hamiltonian vector field X_h of a 1-homogeneous function $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P)$ is π -projectable. The vector field $X_h^c := T\pi(X_h) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is called the **contact Hamiltonian vector field** of h .

Proposition

Let $(P^{2n}, \pi, M, \nabla, \omega)$ be the symplectic cover of the contact manifold (M, C) , and let $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n): P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a map such that $(M, \theta = -l_{\nabla}\omega, F)$ is a homogeneous integrable system. Then:

- 1 $\pi\left(F^{-1}(\Lambda)\right)$ is coisotropic, invariant by the flows of $X_{f_1}^C, \dots, X_{f_n}^C$, and diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ for some $k \leq n$.
- 2 There exist coordinates $(y^1, \dots, y^n, \tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_{n-1})$ such that

$$X_{f_a}^C = \bar{N}_a^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta},$$

where \bar{N}_a^β are functions depending only on $\tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_{n-1}$.

Intermezzo: other notions of contact
integrability

Intermezzo: other notions of contact integrability

- Khesin and Tabachnikov, Liberman, Banyaga and Molino, Lerman, etc. have defined notions of contact complete integrability which are geometric but not dynamical, e.g. a certain foliation over a contact manifold.
- Miranda (2005, 2014) considered integrability of the Reeb dynamics when \mathcal{R} is the generator of an \mathbb{S}^1 -action.
- Boyer (2011) calls a contact Hamiltonian system (M^{2n+1}, η, h) completely integrable if there exist $n + 1$ independent functions in involution $f_0 \equiv h, f_1, \dots, f_n$ such that $X_h(f_1) = \dots = X_h(f_n) = 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{R}(h) = 0$, what he calls a “good Hamiltonian”. Then, the two first contact Hamilton equations are the classical Hamilton equations \rightsquigarrow conservative dynamics:

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_h}\eta = 0, \quad X_h(h) = 0.$$

Intermezzo: other notions of contact integrability

- B. Jovanović and V. Jovanović (2012, 2015) considered noncommutative integrability for the flows of contact Hamiltonian vector fields, assuming the functions in involution to be Reeb-invariant.
- Recently (a month before this seminar), B. Jovanović submitted a preprint in which he studies the non-commutative integrability of contact systems on a contact manifold (M, C) using the Jacobi structure on the space of sections of a contact line bundle L . In this new work, he no longer assumes the contact Hamiltonian to be Reeb-invariant.

Theorem (B. Jovanović, 2025)

Consider a “contact Hamiltonian system” $(M, C, h \in \text{Sec}(L))$ with symmetries $s_0 = h, \dots, s_p \in \text{Sec}(L)$ s.t.

$$\{s_i, s_a\} = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, r, \quad a = 0, \dots, p, \quad p + r = 2n,$$

and assume that X_{s_0}, \dots, X_{s_r} are complete. Let $\pi: M \setminus M_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^p$, $\pi(x) = [s_0(x), \dots, s_n(x)]$ be the associated momentum map and let $M_{\text{reg}} \subseteq M$ be an open subset in which $\text{rank } T\pi = p$. Then,

$$\ker T\pi_x = \text{span}\{X_0(x), \dots, X_r(x)\}, \quad \forall x \in M_{\text{reg}}.$$

A connected component M_c^0 of $M_c = \pi^{-1}(c) \cap M_{\text{reg}}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{r+1-l}$. There exist coordinates (φ_μ, x_k) of M_c^0 in which the contact dynamics read

$$\dot{\varphi}_\mu = \omega_\mu = \text{const}, \quad \dot{x}_k = a_k = \text{const}.$$

Theorem (B. Jovanović, 2025)

Furthermore, the contact symmetries $\text{span}\{X_0, \dots, X_r\}$ are also tangent to the zero locus $M_0 = \{x \in M \mid s_0(x) = \dots = s_p(x) = 0\}$. Let $M_{0,reg}$ be an open subset of M_0 such that each point has a neighborhood U with local sections s_{0U}, \dots, s_{pU} that are independent in a chart (U, α_U) :

$$M_{0,reg} \cap U = \{x \in U \mid s_{0U}(x) = 0, \dots, s_{pU}(x) = 0, ds_{0U} \wedge \dots \wedge ds_{pU}|_x \neq 0\}.$$

Then,

$$\dim \ker T\pi_x = r, \quad \forall x \in M_{0,reg}$$

and a connected component M_0^0 of $M_{0,reg}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{r-l}$ with linearized dynamics.

Bi-Hamiltonian systems

Problem

Given a Hamiltonian system (M^{2n}, ω, h) , we would like to find n independent conserved quantities in involution f_1, \dots, f_n , in order to construct action-angle coordinates (φ^i, J_i) .

Magri *et al.* developed a method for constructing such conserved quantities by computing the eigenvalues of a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field N verifying certain compatibility conditions.

Definition

Let M be a manifold. Two Poisson tensors Λ and Λ_1 on M are said to be **compatible** if $\Lambda + \Lambda_1$ is also a Poisson tensor on M .

Definition

A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is called **bi-Hamiltonian** if it is a Hamiltonian vector field w.r.t. two compatible Poisson structures, namely,

$$X = \Lambda(\cdot, dh) = \Lambda_1(\cdot, dh_1),$$

for two functions $h, h_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$.

- The linear map $\sharp_\Lambda: T_x^*M \ni \alpha \mapsto \Lambda(\cdot, \alpha) \in T_xM$ is an isomorphism iff Λ comes from a symplectic structure ω . In that case, $b_\omega := \sharp_\Lambda^{-1}(v) = \iota_v\omega$.
- In that situation, we can define the $(1, 1)$ -tensor field

$$N = \sharp_{\Lambda_1} \circ \sharp_\Lambda^{-1} = \sharp_{\Lambda_1} \circ b_\omega.$$

Theorem (Magri and Morosi, 1984)

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and Λ_1 a bivector. Consider the $(1, 1)$ -tensor field

$$N = \sharp_{\Lambda_1} \circ \flat_{\omega}.$$

If Λ_1 is a Poisson tensor compatible with Λ , then the Nijehuis torsion T_N of N vanishes. In that case, the eigenvalues of N are in involution w.r.t. both Poisson brackets.

The pair (Λ, N) is called a **Poisson – Nijehuis structure** on M .

The Nijehuis torsion of N is the vector valued 2-form T_N on M given by

$$T_N(X, Y) := N^2[X, Y] - N[NX, Y] - N[X, NY] + [NX, NY], \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Corollary

If a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is bi-Hamiltonian w.r.t. to ω and Λ_1 (i.e., $X = \sharp_\omega dh = \sharp_{\Lambda_1} dh_1$), then the eigenvalues of N form a family of conserved quantities in involution w.r.t. both Poisson brackets.

Compatible Jacobi structures

- The theory of compatible Jacobi structures and Jacobi–Nijenhuis manifolds was developed by Iglesias, Monterde, Marrero, Nunes da Costa, Padrón and Petalidou in the 1990s and 2000s.
- Two Jacobi structures (Λ, E) and (Λ_1, E_1) on a manifold M are called compatible if $(\Lambda + \Lambda_1, E + E_1)$ is also a Jacobi structure on M .
- Given a Jacobi structure (Λ, E) on M , one can construct an associated Poisson structure $\tilde{\Lambda} = 1/r\Lambda + \partial_r \wedge E$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}_+$, which by construction is homogeneous of degree -1 with respect to $\nabla = r\partial_r$.
- Nunes da Costa (1998) showed that (Λ, E) and (Λ_1, E_1) are compatible Jacobi structures iff $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_1$ are compatible Poisson structures.

Theorem (Fernandes, 1994)

Consider a $2n$ -dimensional completely integrable Hamiltonian system (M, ω, H) with action-angle coordinates (s_i, φ^i) satisfying the following conditions:

- (ND) The Hessian matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial s_i \partial s_j} \right)$ of the Hamiltonian with respect to the action variables is non-degenerate in a dense subset of M .
- (BH) The system is bi-Hamiltonian and the recursion operator N has n functionally independent real eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$.

Then, the Hamiltonian function can be written as

$$H(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H_i(\lambda_i),$$

where each H_i is a function that depends only on the corresponding λ_i .

Proposition

Let (M, θ, H) be a homogeneous integrable Hamiltonian system satisfying the assumption (ND). Denote by Λ the Poisson structure defined by $\omega = -d\theta$, and by ∇ the Liouville vector field corresponding to θ . If there is a Poisson structure Λ_1 on M compatible with Λ , it cannot be simultaneously (-1) -homogeneous (i.e., $\mathcal{L}_{\nabla}\Lambda_1 = -\Lambda_1$) and satisfying (BH).

Proof.

If N has n functionally independent eigenvalues, then $H = \sum_i H_i(\lambda_i)$. If Λ_1 is (-1) -homogeneous, then N is 0-homogeneous, so its eigenvalues are 0-homogeneous as well. Hence,

$$H = \nabla(H) = \sum_{i=1}^n H'_i(\lambda_i)\nabla(\lambda_i) = 0.$$

□

Proposition

Let (M, θ, H) be a homogeneous integrable Hamiltonian system satisfying the assumption (ND). Denote by Λ the Poisson structure defined by $\omega = -d\theta$, and by ∇ the Liouville vector field corresponding to θ . If there is a Poisson structure Λ_1 on M compatible with Λ , it cannot be simultaneously (-1) -homogeneous (i.e., $\mathcal{L}_{\nabla}\Lambda_1 = -\Lambda_1$) and satisfying (BH).

Proof.

If N has n functionally independent eigenvalues, then $H = \sum_i H_i(\lambda_i)$. If Λ_1 is (-1) -homogeneous, then N is 0-homogeneous, so its eigenvalues are 0-homogeneous as well. Hence,

$$H = \nabla(H) = \sum_{i=1}^n H'_i(\lambda_i) \nabla(\lambda_i) = 0.$$

□

Corollary

Let (M, η, H) be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional integrable contact Hamiltonian system. If there is a second Jacobi structure (Λ_1, E_1) compatible with the Jacobi structure (Λ, E) defined by η , then the recursion operator $N = \sharp_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \circ \sharp_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}$ relating the associated Poisson structures on $M \times \mathbb{R}_+$ cannot have $(n + 1)$ functionally independent real eigenvalues.

- Consequently, compatible Jacobi structures cannot be utilised to construct a set of independent functions in involution for a contact Hamiltonian system.
- Nevertheless, we can symplectise the contact Hamiltonian system and obtain a second Poisson structure compatible with the one defined by the exact symplectic structure.
- If N is 1-homogeneous and satisfies (BH), then its eigenvalues are n functionally independent and 1-homogeneous functions in involution, so they will project into n functions in involution with respect to the Jacobi bracket.

A toy example

- Let $M = \mathbb{R}^2$, and consider its cotangent bundle $T^*M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$ endowed with the canonical one-form $\theta_{\mathbb{R}^2}$.
- In bundle coordinates (x^i, p_i) , it reads $\theta_M = p_i dx^i$. It defines the symplectic form $\omega_M = -d\theta_M = dx^i \wedge dp_i$, and the Poisson structure

$$\Lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2}.$$

- In this case, the Liouville vector field is $\nabla_M = p_i \partial_{p_i}$, the infinitesimal generator of homotheties on the fibers.
- A Poisson structure compatible with Λ is

$$\Lambda_1 = p_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} + p_2 x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2}.$$

A toy example

- The Nijenhuis tensor $N = \sharp_{\Lambda_1} \circ \sharp_{\Lambda}^{-1}$ reads

$$N = p_1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \otimes dx^1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} \otimes dp_1 \right) + p_2 x^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \otimes dx^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} \otimes dp_2 \right).$$

- The eigenvalues of N are $\lambda_1 = p_1$ and $\lambda_2 = p_2 x^2$, which are homogeneous of degree 1, in involution with respect to both Λ and Λ_1 , and functionally independent on the dense subset $U = T^*M \setminus (\{p_2 = 0\} \cap \{x^2 = 0\})$.
- The vector field

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} - p_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2}$$

is bi-Hamiltonian. Indeed, it is the Hamiltonian vector field of $H = p_1 + p_2 x^2$ with respect to Λ , and the Hamiltonian vector field of $H_1 = \log(p_1 p_2 x^2)$ with respect to Λ_1 . Moreover, λ_1 and λ_2 are first integrals of X .

A toy example

- In the coordinates (φ^j, λ_j) ,

$$\theta = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i d\varphi^i, \quad \Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda^i}, \quad \Lambda_1 = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda^i},$$

$$X = \partial_{\varphi^1} + \partial_{\varphi^2}, \quad H = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2.$$

A toy example bis

- Consider the contact Hamiltonian system $(M = \mathbb{R}^3, \eta, h)$, with η the canonical contact form, $\eta = dz - pdq$, and $h = p - z$.
- In bundle coordinates (q, p, z, r) , the trivial symplectisation $(\mathbb{R}^4, \theta, H)$ of (M, η, h) reads

$$\theta = rdz - rpdq, \quad H = rz - rp,$$

and Liouville vector field is $\nabla = r\partial_r$.

- This is the system from the previous example, as it becomes evident by performing the coordinate change

$$x^1 = q, \quad x^2 = z, \quad p_1 = -rp, \quad p_2 = r.$$

- Thus, we have the functions $\lambda_1 = p_1 = -rp$ and $\lambda_2 = p_2 x^2 = rz$, which are homogeneous of degree 1, in involution, and functionally independent on a dense subset.

A toy example bis

- Projecting them to M , we obtain $\bar{\lambda}_1 = p$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2 = -z$, which are functionally independent and $\{\bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2\} = \{\bar{\lambda}_1, h\} = \{\bar{\lambda}_2, h\} = 0$.
- Moreover, the angle coordinates $\varphi^1 = x^1 = q$ and $\varphi^2 = \log x^2 = \log z$ are 0-homogeneous, so they project into M . With a slight abuse of notation, we will also denote by φ^1 and φ^2 to the corresponding functions on M .
- Let $\bar{\lambda} = -\bar{\lambda}_1/\bar{\lambda}_2 = p/z$. In the chart $(U = M \setminus \{z = 0\}; \varphi^1, \varphi^2, \bar{\lambda})$, the contact Hamiltonian vector field reads $X_h = \partial_{\varphi^1} + \partial_{\varphi^2}$.
- Moreover, $\bar{\eta} = d\varphi^2 - \bar{\lambda}d\varphi^1$ is a contact form on U conformal to η (i.e., $\ker \bar{\eta} = \ker \eta$), and X_h is the Hamiltonian vector field of $\bar{h} = \bar{\lambda} - 1$ with respect to $\bar{\eta}$.

Conclusions

Future research

- Interesting examples
- A method for computing action-angle coordinates \rightsquigarrow Hamilton–Jacobi equation?
- Delzant’s theorem: classifying Hamiltonian actions by the image of the associated moment map, which is a polytope

Main references

- [1] V. I. Arnol'd. *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [2] L. Colombo, M. de León, M. E. Eyrea Irazú, and A. López-Gordón. *Homogeneous Bi-Hamiltonian Structures and Integrable Contact Systems*. 2025. arXiv: 2502.17269.
- [3] L. Colombo, M. de León, M. Lainz, and A. López-Gordón. *Liouville-Arnold theorem for contact Hamiltonian systems*. 2023. arXiv: 2302.12061.
- [4] P. Dazord, A. Lichnerowicz, and C.-M. Marle. "Structure Locale Des Variétés de Jacobi". *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 70(1) (1991).
- [5] R. L. Fernandes. "Completely Integrable Bi-Hamiltonian Systems". *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, 6(1) (1994).
- [6] E. Fiorani, G. Giachetta, and G. Sardanasvily. "An Extension of the Liouville-Arnold Theorem for the Non-Compact Case". *Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. B* (2003).

Main references

- [7] K. Grabowska and J. Grabowski. "A Geometric Approach to Contact Hamiltonians and Contact Hamilton–Jacobi Theory". *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 55(43) (2022).
- [8] J. Grabowski. "Graded Contact Manifolds and Contact Courant Algebroids". *J. Geom. Phys.*, 68 (2013).
- [9] B. Jovanović. *Contact Line Bundles, Foliations, and Integrability*. 2025. arXiv: 2502.02935.
- [10] J. Liouville. "Note sur l'intégration des équations différentielles de la Dynamique". *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (1855).
- [11] A. López-Gordón. "The geometry of dissipation". PhD thesis. Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 2024. arXiv: 2409.11947.
- [12] J. M. Nunes da Costa. "Compatible Jacobi Manifolds: Geometry and Reduction". *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 31(3) (1998).

Dziękuję za uwagę!

Vă mulțumesc pentru atenție!

✉ Feel free to contact me at alopez-gordon@impan.pl

🌐 These slides are available at www.alopezgordon.xyz